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SUMMARY

In the present study, carried out in South-eastern Spain, a commercial arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF; Glomus iranicum var. tenuihypharum sp. nova) was introduced through drip irrigation to inoculate Crimson grapevines. Their effects on the physiological and nutritional activity were evaluated for 2 years (2011–12). Additionally, during the second year of experimentation, the persistence of mycorrhizae on the grapevine and their effects were innovatively analysed.

The AMF satisfactorily colonized the Crimson grapevine roots, improved the plants water status, induced an improvement in the photosynthetic performance that increased the water use efficiency, promoted the uptake of phosphorus (P), potassium (K) and calcium (Ca) and led to a mobilization of starch reserves in the apex in winter, which was possibly responsible for enhancing root development. Moreover, inoculated plants had significantly increased yield and improved quality of grapes, which led to early grape maturation. Overall, the persistent effect of AMF during the second year produced similar positive effects, although to a lesser extent, to those obtained in the inoculated treatment.

The results found in the present study show that this AMF application technique can be recommended for sustainable agriculture in arid and semi-arid areas. Moreover, as a result of the competition with the native mycorrhizae, periodic monitoring of the percentage of mycorrhizal colonization and re-inoculation in order to obtain all the positive effects evidenced in the inoculated treatment is recommended.

INTRODUCTION

Currently, in intensive agricultural production, new insights are essential to increase competitiveness and minimize the environmental impacts of agricultural practices. Regarding crop development, establishing mutually beneficial associations between a host and micro-organisms in the rhizosphere has been demonstrated to be a profitable technique (Aroca et al. 2013). For instance, micro-organisms can contribute to soil recovery and with partial or total substitution of some agrochemical nutrients, leading to reductions in production costs (Azcón 2000). In particular, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) form one of the most interesting beneficial plant–micro-organism associations (Aroca et al. 2013) and are known to colonize the roots of the majority of land plants, including grapevines (Schreiner & Mihara 2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are very common in terrestrial ecosystems and their importance has been widely accepted for: (i) transmitting nutrients to plants from a great distance, with the fungal hyphae undertaking the function of a widely spreading root system (Schnepl et al. 2008), (ii) increasing the absorption of water and nutrients from the soil, acting as a bio-fertilizer (Sánchez-Blanco et al. 2004), (iii) raising the hydraulic conductivity of the plant root system and the photosynthetic capacity of the canopy (Sánchez-Blanco et al. 2004) and
(iv) positively affecting plant growth and providing tolerance against biotic and abiotic stresses (Pozo & Azcón-Aguilar 2007).

However, although a wide range of studies have demonstrated the positive influence of AMF on cultivation systems (Hamel & Plenchette 2007), their agricultural application has been very limited, probably due to inoculation ineffectiveness (Ryan & Graham 2002) or other factors involved in AMF persistence such as compatibility, carrying capacity, abundance and priority effects (Verbruggen et al. 2013). Mechri et al. (2008) also showed that AMF are very influenced by soil properties, since these constitute the physical–chemical environment in which this symbiosis occurs. In grapevines, for instance, Herrera-Peraza et al. (2011) indicated that AMF only work properly in a specific range of soil conditions and it is necessary to use species adapted to those specific soil conditions and that favour symbiosis with a given plant. Several studies concerning the association with AMF in grapevines have shown positive effects on plant development regardless of how they are applied, for instance as root inoculum (Schubert et al. 1988), application to microplants (Schellenbaum et al. 1991) or cuttings (Waschkies et al. 1994), or directly through drip irrigation lines (Fernández & Juárez 2011). In addition, most of the AMF previously found in grapevine roots are dominated by Glomus species (Schreiner & Mihara 2009).

In the present study, the effects of AMF root colonization on Crimson grapevine were evaluated for 2 years (2011–2012), in terms of nutrient uptake (macro- and micronutrients), gas exchange parameters (net photosynthesis and stomatal conductance), starch concentration and yield and quality of grapes. In relation to yield and quality of grapes, the fundamental commercial criterion followed to determine the harvest period was grape coloration.

To date and to our knowledge, this is the first study performed on a commercial vineyard for 2 consecutive years which has evaluated the effects of applying registered mycorrhizae through drip irrigation on physiology, nutrient uptake and yield. The second year of experimentation was used to examine the persistence effect of the mycorrhizae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Experimental design and growing conditions

The experiment was conducted for 2 consecutive years (2011 and 2012) at a 1 ha commercial vineyard located in Jumilla, Murcia (South-eastern Spain, 38°19′N; 1°18′W; 335 m a.s.l.). This area is characterized by a Mediterranean semi-arid climate with warm, dry summer and mild winter conditions. The average annual temperature is 16·5 °C, reaching a maximum temperature of 33 °C in summer and a minimum temperature of 0·5 °C in winter. The annual rainfall averages 300 mm, with high seasonal and inter-annual variability. Most precipitation occurs during the autumn and winter months, but inter-annual droughts are also common (Martínez-Alvarez et al. 2011). The seasonal variation of the monthly reference evapotranspiration ($ET_o$) and the rainfall for the studied area are shown in Fig. 1.

The experimental design was a randomized complete design composed of three blocks with three experimental plots per block (Fig. 2). The standard plot was made up of 16 plants, located in four adjacent rows. The four central plants of the two middle rows were used for the different measurements and the other 12 were guard plants.

During the first year the following two treatments were performed: non-inoculated Crimson grapevine plants considered as the control treatment ($T_0$) (12 plants) and inoculated Crimson grapevine ($T_1$) (24 plants). During the second year, half of the plots that had been inoculated during the first year were used for evaluation of the persistent effect of the AMF, as these plants were not inoculated during the second year ($T_2$) (12 plants) (Fig. 2). In the second year, $T_1$ was inoculated again.

The selected grape was a 10-year old Crimson variety which has medium to large, elliptical-long, thin, crunchy and seedless red berries. Grapevines were planted with a separation of 4·0 m with rows spacing of 4·0 m and with a height of 2·3 m.
The soil had a silty clay texture with a pH of 8.51, electrical conductivity of the saturation extract of 1.06 dS/m and sodium absorption rate (SAR; [meq/l]0.5) of 0.22 measured in the saturation extract.

Grapevines were watered daily by drip irrigation with a mix of water transferred from the Tajo-Segura channel and groundwater to reach an electrical conductivity of 0.2 dS/m. Irrigation was performed to provide all of the daily crop evapotranspiration (ETc) requirements. This was estimated from the potential ETo, which was calculated from the Penman–Monteith equation (Allen et al. 1998) and using the crop coefficients (Kc) proposed by Netzer et al. (2009) in a semi-arid Mediterranean region of Southern Israel with similar climatic conditions. Data used to calculate ETo were collected from an automated weather station placed at an elevation of 2 m above ground level and located 2 km from the experimental plots.

A total of 8126 m³ water/ha was supplied in the first year, of which 0.29 was supplied before the onset of ripening (veraison). In the second year, somewhat more water was applied (9305 m³/ha, of which 0.22 was before veraison). Drip emitters were spaced at 75 cm and had a nominal flow of 4 l/h. Each year, 550 kg/ha fertilizer (202 g total nitrogen (N)/kg, 69 g phosphorus (P)/kg, 401 g potassium (K)/kg, 323 g calcium (Ca)/kg and 6.1 g magnesium (Mg)/kg) was applied through the drip irrigation system.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation

The AMF strain used, *Glomus iranicum* var. *tenuihypharum* sp. *nova*, was previously isolated in a sodium-saline soil with a high pH value ≈ 8.1. The multiplication of the strain was performed as proposed by Fernández & Juárez (2011). The inoculum (150 spores/g and 25 mg/g of extramatrical mycelium) was supplied through the drip irrigation system (injection pump regulated at 25 l/h) at the beginning of the vegetative growth phase at a dose of 4.5 kg/ha.

It should be noted that, according to the manufacturer, the small size of the particles composing the product (< 100 μm) does not cause clogging problems in the filters, irrigation lines or emitters and once the inoculation was mixed with water it was actively located in close proximity to young roots, which are the most absorbent. Finally, the effectiveness of the inoculum (100 infective propagules/g) was eventually evaluated according to the most probable number test.

### Measurements

**Symbiotic development**

At the time of grape veraison, young root samples and the surrounding rhizosphere soil were collected at a depth of 20–30 cm to assess the symbiotic development. Five root samples from eight grapevines per treatment were used with at least four replicates per root. The percentage of mycorrhizal root colonization was estimated following the gridline intersect method (Giovanetti & Mosse 1980) under a microscope (100 × magnification) after clearing washed roots (i) in 10% potassium hydroxide (KOH) for 10 min at 90 °C, (ii) in hydrochloric acid (HCl) 2 N for 10 min and (iii) staining with 0.05% trypan blue dissolved in lactic acid (v/v) (Phillips & Hayman 1970).

**Stem water potential (ψstem)**

The stem water potential (ψstem) was measured at noon using a pressure chamber (Model PMS 3000;
Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., USA). At present, there is a lack of agreement regarding the optimal stage for sampling, and although some authors propose two phenological stages (the end of flowering and veraison), others opt for veraison only (García et al. 2001). Bearing in mind, measurements were carried out twice a month during the 1-year experimental period but to summarize results, only data from the veraison stage (average of two measurements in early and late July) are shown. In each plot, four healthy, fully expanded mature leaves (one leaf from each inner plant) from the main shoots in the middle and upper part of the vine canopy and located in the side opposite to the bunch were used (Kliewer 1991). Before collection and measurement, the leaves selected were enclosed within polyethylene bags covered with aluminium foil for at least 2 h before measurement (Begg & Turner 1970).

Net photosynthesis and stomatal conductance

Instantaneous measurements of net photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance (gₛ) were performed on four leaves per plot (one leaf from each inner tree), using an open gas exchange system (Li-6400; Li-Cor, Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) with an integrated leaf chamber fluorometer (Li-6400-40; Li-Cor, Inc., Nebraska, USA). All measurements were performed on young, fully expanded leaves, at a photosynthetic photon flux density of 1500 μmol/m²/s to ensure light saturation, with a CO₂ concentration in the cuvette of 400 μmol CO₂/mol air (Pou et al. 2012). The sampling periods were the same as for ψₛ. Additionally, intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE) was determined and computed as the ratio of A/ɡₛ, (Pou et al. 2012).

Leaf ion concentration

An inductively coupled plasma ICP (ICP-ICAP 6500 DUO Thermo, UK) was employed for determination of leaf macronutrients (P, K, Ca and Mg) and micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu and B). Nitrogen was determined following the Dumas method (Watson & Gallíther 2001). In each plot, 20 leaves (five leaves from each inner tree) were collected according to the criterion followed for the selection of leaves to perform the measurements of ψₛ. The sampling periods were the same as for ψₛ. Ion concentration was compared with the macro- and micronutrient ranges determined by the Diagnosis and Recommendation Integrated System (DRIS). For grapevine, it is difficult to obtain reliable references due to the wide range of varieties, genetics, rootstocks, growing techniques, water regime or simply the variation across different climates and soils (Failla et al. 1997). In spite of this, and due to the lack of references in the literature regarding the optimal nutritional status for grapevine, the present study used the DRIS proposed by García-Escudero et al. (2013) for Tempranillo grapevine as a rough guide.

Starch concentration

The starch concentration of grapevine roots was determined in February 2013, once the 2-year experiment finished, using the colorimetric assay described by Zapata et al. (2004) but somewhat modified. Five root samples (apical and central parts) from eight grapevines per treatment were used with at least four replicates per root (apical and central parts). Then, the apical and central parts were snap frozen with liquid nitrogen and extracted with Milli-Q H₂O (1/10, w/v). Extracts were incubated at 100 °C for 15 min, and then centrifuged at 6000 g for 5 min. The supernatant was mixed with absolute ethanol (1/3, v/v) and centrifuged at 9600 g for 5 min. The pellet, containing starch, was re-suspended in Milli-Q H₂O and then diluted (1/10, v/v) if necessary (for samples containing high starch concentration). Finally, 1 ml of sample was mixed with 50 μl of Lugol solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) and the optical density was recorded at 595 nm using a Tecan-Sunrise plate reader. The starch concentration was calculated using a standard curve of pure rice starch (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) (0–200 μg/ml).

Yield and quality of grapes

As a result of the stepped grape maturation, two cuts, identified as first and second cut, were performed at commercial maturity as determined primarily by colour. The first cut was considered of the greatest commercial value. Finally, the total yield was determined as the sum of the yield weighed at each cut.

To determine the quality of grapes, 100 bunches and 100 individual grapes were selected randomly from each treatment. Then, the bunch and berry weights (Radwag WLC 1.2/B1, Poland), berry diameter, firmness (Durofel DFT 100 penetrometer, France), soluble solids concentration (Atago MASTER-T Refractometer, USA) and acidity (Metrohm 785 DMP Titriso + automatic sample changer Metrohm 760, Switzerland) were determined. The maturity index, which affects the perception of taste (sweetness and acidity) by the consumer and therefore influences their
decision on whether or not to buy (Scandella et al. 1997), was computed as the ratio of soluble solids concentration to acidity. Coloration of the fruits was determined using a Konica Minolta Sensing CR-10 colorimeter (Singapore) based on Lightness (L; describes overall intensity to how light or dark a colour is), Angle (Hue; describes a dimension of colour we readily experience when we look at colour) and Chroma (Ch; defined as the strength or dominance of the hue).

Statistical analyses
Data were analysed using a one-way analysis of variance using SPSS v. 21 for Windows to detect any significant differences between the parameters measured. In addition, when differences were significant, Tukey’s range test at the 95% confidence level was carried out for comparison between treatments. Percentage values of root colonization were arcsine [square root (X)] transformed before statistical analysis.

RESULTS
Root mycorrhizal colonization
Table 1 shows the percentage of root colonization for the 2 years of experimentation. A natural AMF proliferation was detected in the control treatment ($T_0$) in both the first (to 10·3%) and the second year (to 19·0%). As expected, inoculated grapevines presented significantly ($P<0·05$) higher rates of colonization than the non-inoculated ones. During the first year, the mycorrhizal colonization rate increased significantly ($P<0·05$), to 60·0%. This value was notably higher in the second year (colonization increased to 89·0%) for $T_1$. During this second year, not inoculating the grapevines inoculated in the first year ($T_2$; Fig. 2) limited the percentage of AMF root colonization (root colonization of 43·0%), although a significant ($P<0·05$) increase of 24% ($\approx 2·3$ times higher) compared to $T_0$ was still observed (Table 1).

Stem water potential ($\psi_{stem}$)
During the first year of experimentation, the AMF inoculation significantly increased $\psi_{stem}$ levels (from $-1·07$ to $-0·87$ MPa). During the second year, a general increase in $\psi_{stem}$ compared to the first year was detected, but the effect of inoculation was only significant ($P<0·05$) between $T_1$ ($-0·62$ MPa) and $T_0$ ($-0·80$ MPa) (Table 1) plants.

Leaf-ion concentration
Table 2 presents the leaf-ion concentration (macronutrients and micronutrients) from the Crimson variety for the 2-year experimental period. During the first year, inoculated grapevines showed significantly ($P<0·05$) lower N but higher P and K concentrations compared to the control treatment ($N=26·9$ v. 31·6 g/kg, $P=1·5$ v. 1·0 g/kg and $K=16·8$ v. 11·7 g/kg). In contrast, Ca and Mg remained unaffected (Table 2). A similar trend was observed during the second year, where inoculated grapevines had significantly ($P<0·05$) reduced N and increased P, K and Ca compared to the control treatment ($N=25·9$ v. 28·7 g/kg, $P=2·5$ v. 2·0 g/kg, $K=16·6$ v. 12·3 g/kg and $Ca=34·0$ v. 31·0 g/kg). Overall, results in $T_2$ were similar to those observed in $T_0$.

Concerning micronutrients, no significant differences were found between treatments regardless of the year under study, and concentrations of all micronutrients were similar in both the first and second year (Table 2).

Net photosynthesis and stomatal conductance
During the first year $A$ and $g_s$ seemed to be dependent on inoculation, as inoculated plants ($T_1$)
Table 2. Concentration of macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) and micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu and B) on grapevine leaves from Crimson variety at the time of grape veraison for the 2-year experimental period. Values correspond to the average ±s.e. of two measurements performed at the time of grape veraison (in early and late July). Also the optimal macro- and micronutrients ranges calculated for grapevine ‘Tempranillo’ by the DRIS proposed by García-Escudero et al. (2013) is shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First year</th>
<th>Second year</th>
<th>Optimal ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macronutrients* (g/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>32±2·1</td>
<td>27±1·6</td>
<td>21·9–22·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1±0·3</td>
<td>2±0·2</td>
<td>1·5–1·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12±2·1</td>
<td>17±1·8</td>
<td>7·6–9·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>40±2·5</td>
<td>36±3·1</td>
<td>31·0–33·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>4±0·4</td>
<td>4±0·3</td>
<td>3·8–4·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronutrients† (mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>140±7·2</td>
<td>151±6·5</td>
<td>134–164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>12±0·5</td>
<td>14±0·4</td>
<td>16–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>43±4·2</td>
<td>48±3·2</td>
<td>99–124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>39±5·3</td>
<td>31±4·5</td>
<td>117–221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>61±2·7</td>
<td>56±3·4</td>
<td>34–40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* N, nitrogen; P, phosphorus; K, potassium; Ca, calcium; Mg, magnesium.
† Fe, iron; Zn, zinc; Mn, manganese; Cu, copper; B, boron.

Presented significantly ($P<0·05$) higher values ($A=8·3\mu mol/m^2/s$ and $g_s=112\mu mol/m^2/s$) (Figs 3(a) and (b)). Increases of 37·2% in $A$ and 34·5% in $g_s$ with respect to $T_0$ were observed. In contrast, no significant differences were found between treatments $T_0$ and $T_1$ in $WUE$ ($\approx 70\mu mol CO_2/mol H_2O$). During the second year, the highest values of $A$ and $g_s$ were found in $T_1$ ($A=12·3\mu mol/m^2/s$ and $g_s=102·2\mu mol/m^2/s$). These differences meant significant ($P<0·05$) increases in $A$ and $g_s$ of 92 and 48%, respectively, compared to $T_0$. In contrast, the lowest $A$ and $g_s$ values were found in both $T_0$ and $T_2$, where no significant differences were detected. A significant increase ($P<0·05$) was also seen for $WUE$ in $T_1$ in the second year ($120·3\mu mol CO_2/mol H_2O$), but not in $T_2$.

Starch concentration

Figure 4 shows the starch concentration measured at the apex and the central parts of the roots for the three treatments, performed once the 2-year experiment finished (February 2013). A similar total starch concentration was measured in $T_0$, $T_1$ and $T_2$ treatments (105·4, 113·6 and 109·2 $\mu g/g$, respectively) although a slight trend towards increased starch with AMF inoculation was observed. In general, such higher starch accumulation observed in AMF-inoculated grapevines agreed with the higher $A$ and $WUE$ data (Figs 3(a) and (c)), as well as with the highest yield (Fig. 5).

Depending on the treatment carried out, starch was unevenly distributed between the apex and the central part of the root. For instance, in $T_0$ the starch concentration found in the apex (73·3 $\mu g/g$) was more than double that measured in the centre (32·1 $\mu g/g$) whereas in $T_1$ most of the starch concentration was found in the central part of the root (67·3 $\mu g/g$) compared to that observed in the apex (46·2 $\mu g/g$). Finally, starch concentration analysed in $T_2$ was evenly distributed between the apex and the central part of the root; 52·3 and 56·9 $\mu g/g$, respectively (Fig. 4).

Yield and quality of grapes

Figures 5(a) and (b) present the yield (t/ha) for the Crimson grape variety for each year of the experimental period, respectively. At the end of the first year, the yield in the control treatment ($T_0$) was 20·98 t/ha but inoculation significantly ($P<0·05$) increased the total yield by 48·3%, to 31·12 t/ha, with respect to $T_0$ (Fig. 5(a)). In inoculated plants ($T_1$), yield was unevenly distributed between the first and the second cut (0·39 and 0·61 of total yield, respectively) (Fig. 5(a)). For $T_0$ in the first year of the experimental period, half the yield was harvested in the first cut.

In the second year, a general reduction in yield compared to the first year was observed (Fig. 5(b)). For instance, in $T_0$ and in $T_1$ yield was reduced by 15 and 20%, respectively. However, as expected, inoculation
significantly (P < 0.05) increased the total yield of grape compared to the non-inoculated control (increase of 59.1%) (Fig. 5(b)). Such increases were also observed in both cuts and as occurred in the first year, the highest increase was found in the second cut (c. 67% of the total yield). The persistence of AMF, evaluated in treatment T2, also increased the total yield significantly (P < 0.05) but with a more moderate effect (yield of 20.01 t/ha; increase of 27.0% with respect to T0). However, in contrast to T1, this increase was only observed in the second cut, where most of the yield (c. 80% of the total yield) was harvested (Fig. 5(b)).

Table 3 presents the main fruit quality parameters for the grapes during the 2-year experimental period. During the first year, at the first cut, inoculation led to significant (P < 0.05) increases in the bunch weight (from 493 to 560 g), berry firmness (from 61.9 to 64.4 N/cm²), soluble solids concentration (from 20.1 to 21.3 °Brix) and maturity index (from 50.2 to 52.8 °Brix/%Tartaric). No significant differences were detected in the equatorial diameter or berry weight (data not shown). In the second cut, significant (P < 0.05) increases in T1 were only observed in the bunch weight and maturity index (Table 3).

During the second year, the quality of grapes was noticeably higher with respect to the first year. In the first cut, AMF inoculation led to significant increases in bunch weight (617–876 g), berry firmness (82–88 N/cm²), maturity index (45.8–58.5 °Brix/%Tartaric) and in the equatorial diameter (data not shown). However, the berries showed similar soluble solids concentration and weight with respect to T0. In T2 significant increases were found in the berry firmness (from 82.0 to 86.1 N/cm²), maturity index (from 45 to 52.3 °Brix/% Tartaric) and equatorial diameter (data not shown). In the second cut, a similar trend was observed. Overall, in the 2-year experimentation period for treatments T1 and T2, the increase of soluble solid concentration ranged from 1 to 6% and additionally a reduction of acidity ranging from 10 to 20% was observed.

It must be highlighted that improvements in both yield and grape quality were not correlated with berry coloration, where almost no significant differences...
than that measured in the control treatment ($T_0$). On one hand, the high AMF colonization might have meant high compatibility between the AMF used for the experiment but on the other hand, the reduction in colonization seen in $T_2$ could be attributed to a certain degree of competition between the native and non-native strains of AMF (Verbruggen et al. 2013).

A high presence of AMF in the root zone has been shown to aid in the uptake of water and to contribute to an improved water status in vines (Ruiz-Lozano & Azcón 1995). In that sense, the significant increase observed in the present study in $\psi_{stem}$ for $T_1$ during the first year of experimentation could be attributed to root colonization by AMF. Additionally, this improvement in water status could also have been associated with improved host nutrition, particularly P (Giovanetti & Mosse 1980). In the second year, the increasing percentage of root colonization, the large amount of water supplied after veraison and the improved host P nutrition probably induced the increase of $\psi_{stem}$ compared to the first year. In fact, the present study has highlighted that the higher the root colonization, the higher $\psi_{stem}$ may be observed.

It is not surprising that AMF enhanced both the grape growth and uptake of some nutrients such as P and K (Marschner & Dell 1994), since these improvements have been observed previously in grapevines (Possingham & Groot-Obbink 1971), but also in other species such as cherimoya, prickly pear cactus, ancho chile pepper and lettuce (Azcón-Aguilar et al. 1994; Estrada-Luna & Davies 2001, 2003).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have also been said to increase the utilization of different forms of N by plants (Hodge et al. 2001) and to take up N directly and transfer it to the host root (Johansen et al. 1996). However, the current results showed a reduced N concentration in the inoculated treatment $T_1$ regardless of the year of study. In spite of that, N concentration was always slightly above the optimal range found in the grapevine DRIS norm proposed by García-Escudero et al. (2013). Nitrogen and K deficiencies at the veraison stage are often associated with a loss of berry colour, which obviously can have negative economic consequences for farmers (Delgado et al. 2004). In addition, landholders have reported that the veraison moment in Crimson grapes is especially complicated because fully coloured ripe grapes can coexist at the same time as unripe grapes that are not fully coloured. Therefore, it is widely agreed that the fundamental parameter in order to determine the harvest moment, apart from the size, is

**DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrated that Crimson grapevines were heavily dependent on AMF. Successful establishment of inoculant was identified by the fact that the range of root colonization observed at the veraison moment in the first year was similar to those reported in previous research where the effect of AMF on different grapevines was investigated (Caglar & Bayram 2006) and much higher in the second year. It is notable that when inoculation was performed during 2 consecutive years, the highest percentage of AMF colonization was reached. However, in the second year of the experiment in treatment $T_2$, an expected reduction in AMF colonization compared to $T_1$ was detected, although colonization was still much higher

($P<0.05$) between treatments were found. In fact, significant increases were observed only for L and Hue values in the second cut of the first year for the $T_0$ treatment, and for Ch in the first cut of the second year for $T_0$ and $T_1$ with respect to $T_2$ (Table 4).

**Fig. 5.** Yield (t/ha) of Crimson grape variety for the 2-year experimental period for the three treatments performed. Data show the average value ± S.E.
the grape coloration and hence a suitable equilibrium N/K must be reached. For inoculated treatments, regardless of the year of study, such reductions in N and increases in K led to a somewhat reduced N/K ratio, but a similar value as proposed in the literature by Martín et al. (2013) was reached. In all treatments K concentration, although being slightly high, was also in concordance with the optimal ranges proposed by DRIS (García-Escudero et al. 2013).

In the case of P, Evelin et al. (2009) reported that colonization of roots with AMF often improves the P nutrition of host plants growing with sparingly soluble P forms as they facilitate its mobility in the soil. Moreover, the increase in growth detected in plants inoculated with AMF has been mainly associated with phosphate absorption (Abbot & Robson 1991). Such positive effects have also been previously shown in grapevines (Karagiannidis et al. 1995). In the present study, the increase in P detected in the inoculated treatment (T1) was hence attributed to AMF. In contrast, results from treatment T2 did not show a significant increase in P compared to the control treatment (T0), which indicated that the reduction in AMF colonization was likely to condition the absorption of this element. For the other macronutrients analysed, Ca (except in the second year for T1) and Mg, no significant differences were found which is in agreement with a previous study (Schreiner 2007).

Regarding micronutrients, some research identifies that certain micronutrients may be affected by inoculation with AMF. For example, Petgen et al. (1998) found higher Zn and Cu concentrations in grapevines inoculated with mycorrhizae. In contrast, there is also research in grapevines that agrees with the results

Table 3. Quality fruit parameters for Crimson variety at the two cuts: bunch weight (g), firmness of the grain (pressure in N/cm²), soluble solids concentration (°Brix) and maturity index (°Brix %/Tartaric) for the 2-year experimental period in the three treatments performed. Data show the average value ±S.E.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1st Cut</th>
<th>2nd Cut</th>
<th>1st Cut</th>
<th>2nd Cut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bunch weight (g)</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>493 ± 43</td>
<td>391 ± 60</td>
<td>617 ± 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>560 ± 20</td>
<td>518 ± 58</td>
<td>876 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>654 ± 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmness (N/cm²)</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>62 ± 1.2</td>
<td>69 ± 4.1</td>
<td>82 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>64 ± 0.9</td>
<td>69 ± 3.2</td>
<td>88 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>86 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble solids content (°Brix)</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>20 ± 0.6</td>
<td>20 ± 0.6</td>
<td>21 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>21 ± 0.4</td>
<td>20 ± 0.6</td>
<td>21 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity index (°Brix/%Tartaric)</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>50 ± 1.1</td>
<td>56 ± 2.1</td>
<td>46 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>53 ± 1.3</td>
<td>62 ± 1.6</td>
<td>59 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>52 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1st Year (2011) 2nd Year (2012)

Table 4. Parameters indicative of the colour, Lightness, Chroma and Angle (Hue), for Crimson grapes for the 2-year experimental period. Data show the average value ±S.E.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lightness</th>
<th>1st Cut</th>
<th>2nd Cut</th>
<th>1st Cut</th>
<th>2nd Cut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>36.0 ± 0.72</td>
<td>36.6 ± 0.25</td>
<td>38.9 ± 0.50</td>
<td>39.0 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>35.4 ± 0.41</td>
<td>36.1 ± 0.19</td>
<td>39.3 ± 0.49</td>
<td>39.1 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>38.2 ± 0.65</td>
<td>39.0 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chroma</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.32</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.21</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.29</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.29</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hue</td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>28.7 ± 1.21</td>
<td>35.7 ± 1.30</td>
<td>41.4 ± 1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>30.6 ± 0.78</td>
<td>31.7 ± 1.61</td>
<td>38.3 ± 2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42.2 ± 2.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1st Year (2011) 2nd Year (2012)
found in the present study, indicating the AMF do not have any effect on those elements (Karagiannidis et al. 2007). Bearing all this in mind, it is very likely that the differences in Zn and Cu found in the various studies arose from differences in cultivation conditions between studies, as well as from genotypic differences, since the plant response may also change with inoculation of different fungi (Kafkas & Ortas 2009).

At the veraison moment in the first year of experimentation, inoculation increased the A and g\(_s\) rates significantly compared to T\(_0\), although the high fruit load probably limited photosynthetic activity to a certain extent. However, in spite of these increases, WUE remained stable. In the second year, the suitable water status of grapevines, higher percentage of root colonization and lower fruit load led to considerable increases in both A and WUE in treatment T\(_1\) (Evelin et al. 2009). In fact, AMF are known to exert a certain control over transpiration, allowing plants to maintain high levels of WUE (Navarro-Ródenas et al. 2013). Such an increase, which is congruent with other studies of grapevine hosts (Nikolaou et al. 2003), may also have accounted for the enhanced growth of plants colonized with Glomus species, most probably by enhancing CO\(_2\) fixation (Aroca et al. 2013).

It is well known that AMF can increase the demand for photo-assimilates, stimulating the A rate (Foyer 1987), and since a large proportion of photosynthetic product is allocated to the root of plants infected with mycorrhizae (Jakobsen & Rosendahl 1990), this is one potential mechanism for the observed increases in A. In addition, there is research that suggests a close relationship between AMF colonization and carbohydrate availability (Valentine et al. 2002).

Zapata et al. (2004) reported that storage of C in perennial tissues such as the roots is made mainly of starch and its mobilization has a substantial role in supporting vegetative and reproductive growth. In the present study it is remarkable that (i) in non-inoculated grapevines, most of the starch concentration was allocated to the apex, (ii) in grapevines inoculated during the first year but not the second, the starch was equally distributed between the apex and the central part of the root and (iii) in grapevines inoculated in both seasons, starch was primarily stored in the central part of the root. In this last case, the decrease in starch at the root apex could indicate a carbohydrate supply for the development of new roots and the energy demand for AMF activity (Marjanović & Nehls 2008). Also of note is the observation that although plants inoculated only in the first year showed similar A\(_n\), WUE, leaf nutrient content and water potential to plants from the control treatment in the second year, yield was notably increased, especially in the second. This could be explained by the higher concentration of starch stored in the centre of the root in the first season with respect to the control treatment, which was remobilized to the shoot, increasing fruit set and hence yield (Bennett 2002).

As expected, mycorrhizal symbiosis favoured the absorption of water and nutrients (Navarro-García et al. 2011) and hence increased yield at both cuts regardless of the year of study. Additionally, the effect of the persistence of the AMF on yield was still significantly observed in T\(_2\). Overall, the quality fruit parameters evaluated, bunch weight, firmness, soluble solids concentration and maturity index were also affected positively. In fact, it is remarkable how inoculation significantly increased the soluble solid concentrations and reduced more than proportionally the acid concentration. These improvements were not correlated with berry coloration, where very few significant differences between treatments were found.

In conclusion, the present study, which aimed to investigate the effects of a commercial registered AMF on growth and nutritional status of Crimson grapevine, has yielded invaluable knowledge on the physiological and chemical responses of this grapevine variety to AMF inoculation.

The AMF selected was demonstrated to colonize the Crimson grapevine roots satisfactorily. In the second year, plants inoculated during the first year and not in the second showed a reduction in the intensity of infection, although it was still higher than the control treatment.

The grapevines inoculated had improved water status, increased absorption of some nutrients such as P, K and Ca and in addition both yield and fruit quality were positively affected. Moreover, the ability of AMF to persist in the roots of grapevine in the second year also produced positive effects. It is also interesting that AMF could have favoured root development by mobilizing the apex starch reserves; hence its application led to a major concentration of starch in the central part of the root.

Finally, although it is very difficult to generalize since plant growth depends on several factors including the fungal species associated with the plant, the source of P nutrition, the variety or grapevine cultivar and environmental factors such as the water regime, the results found in the present study suggest that this
straightforward application of AMF through the drip irrigation system is a promising technique. Hence, development of such symbiosis could be strongly justified in sustainable agriculture in arid and semi-arid areas. Moreover, competition between native and non-native AMF for soil colonization suggests that periodic monitoring of the percentage of mycorrhizal colonization should be carried out and re-inoculations applied in order to achieve all the positive effects evidenced in the inoculated treatment.
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